

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORRET GENERAL

> Honorable C. H. Cavness State Auditor Austin, Texas

Doar Mr. Cavness:

Opinion No. 0-4864
Re: Velidity of appointment
of member of Beard of
Directors of Lower Coloredo River Authority;
and related questions.

that on May 5, 1941, while the 47th legislature was in session, Honorable W. Lee d'Daniel undertook to appoint Mr. B. O. Elkner to be a member of the Board of Directors of the Lower Colorado River Authority for a six-year term, ending January 1, 1947; that in the letter notifying the Secretary of State thereof, and directing the issuence of the commission, Governor O'Daniel advised; "This appointment does not have to be confirmed by the Senate." The appointment was never submitted to the Senate for confirmation, and the Senate never voluntarily acted thereon. The appairsion was issued, Mr. Elsner undertook to qualify, and has since been serving as such officer.

Upon this statement of facts, you ask the opinion of this Department upon certain questions.

## (1) was the appointment of Mr. Elsner valid?

In the case of Lower Colorado River Authority v. McCraw, 125 Tex. 268, 63 S. W. (2) 629, the Supreme Court of Texas held that members of the Board of Directors of the L. C. R. A. occupy State offices, as members of a State board.

Art. 4, Sec. 12, of the State Constitution, pro-

ROCCHMUNICATION IS TO BE CONSTRUED AS A DEPARTMENTAL OPINION HIM THE COMPONER AND T

## Honorable C. H. Cavness, Page 2

"All vacancies in State . . . offices, . . . ahall be filled unless otherwise provided by law, by appointment of the Governor, which appointment, if made during its session, shall be with the advice and concent of two-thirds of the Senate present.

The appointment here was made to fill a vecency in the term of a State office. It was made while the Senate was in session. Under such direumstances, the lawful appointing power consisted, under Sec. 12 of Art. 4, of the Governor and the Senate. A valid appointment required the joint action of the Governor and the Senate. The attempted appointment by the Governor alone was a nullity, and conferred no legal right upon the supposed appointment to take and hold the office. Denison v. State, (Giv. Apps.) 61 S. W. (2) 1017, error refused, 122 Tex. 459, Cl. S. W. (2) 1022; Erumby v. Boyd, (Civ. Apps.) 66 S. W. 874; Brown v. State, 43 Tex. 478. (See also Conf. Op. No. 3076)

## (2) Is there a vacancy in the office to which Mr. Elener was appointed; and if so, by whom may it be filled?

Since the appointment of Mr. Elsner was a nullity, the vacancy in the term of the office which Governor O'Daniel undertook to fill by the designation has continued from the time it first arose, and may now be filled by interim appointment of the Governor, as authorized by Art. 4, Sec. 12, of the Constitution. Such interim appointee must be nominated to the Senate during the first ten days of its session; but his appointment by the Governor during the recess of the Senate will confer upon him an immediate right, de jure, to take and occupy the office until the Senate shall have rejected him, or for the term, if the Senate should confirm his appointment.

If Mr. Elzner should decline to surrender the office to the appointee of the Governor, an action of quo warranto, on the relation of such appointee, may be instituted to remove him therefrom by the Attorney General. Honorable C. H. Cavness, Page 3

We express no opinion with reference to other questions at this time. Consideration thereof will be deferred until a later date, in order that this opinion upon the more pressing problem may be released as early as possible.

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

R. W. Fairehild Assistant

KWFairchila

RWT: CC APPROVEDNOV 12, 1942

COLL 6. MAA

